NUTRITIONAL MANAGEMENT "How much should I feed my Cattle"

COORDINATOR: DR. JASON BANTA













Nutrition and Supplementation Programs for Cow-Calf Operations

Associate Professor and Extension Beef Cattle Specialist Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Overton, TX

1

4

TEXAS A&M

Disclaimers

The information given herein is for educational purposes only.

Reference to trade name is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement is implied by the Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service.

Only a partial listing of available products and companies is included and no discrimination is intended by the omission of a product.

Listed values do not guarantee current company specifications.

2

5

What is the most important part of a nutrition program?

What is the goal of a forage plan/system?

maximize the number of grazing days reduce/eliminate supplementation requirements 85% or better weaning rate good weaning weights



Forage Systems

6

















18



15

Protein is often first limiting when quality declines in Native Warm-Season Perennial Forages

Introduced Forage Systems





Energy is often first limiting when quality declines in Introduced Warm-Season **Perennial Forages**

21



How long does bahia and bermudagrass grow?





What about cool-season annuals?















36

Management Factors Affecting Forage Production



Grazing Pressure and Stocking Rate



37



Overton Stocking Rate Study

Grazing System (Continuous)	Stocking Rate, hd/ac	ADG, Ibs	Gain/acre, Ibs
Low	1.6	2.95	743
Medium	2.2	2.12	740
High	2.8	0.96	436



42





some level of rotation/ability to rotate is beneficial in most situations

- cutting considerations and management of stored forages
- highly palatable forages
- planting of annuals forages

depending on stubble height targets it is very easy to reduce animal performance with rotation

41

Stubble Height and Plant Health









46



Stocking Strategies and Factors

How many acres do you need per cow-calf pair?

48



70% utilization				
Cow Weight	1,000	1,200	1,400	
Cow intake, (2.25% of BW)	8,213	9,855	11,498	
Calf Intake	1,508	1,810	2,111	
Pair Intake	9,721	11,665	13,609	
Forage Allowance, let cow consume (70%)	13,887	16,664	19,441	
Forage Production, lbs/ac	4,500	4,500	4,500	
Stocking Rate, ac/pair	3.09	3.70	4.32	

native forages: 25% utilization				
Cow Weight	1,000	1,200	1,400	
Cow intake, (2.25% of BW)	8,213	9,855	11,498	
Calf Intake, Ibs/year	1,508	1,810	2,111	
Pair Intake, lbs/year	9,721	11,665	13,609	
Forage Allowance, let cow consume (25%)	38,884	46,660	54,436	
Forage Production, lbs/ac/yr	3,000	3,000	3,000	
Stocking Rate, ac/pair	12.96	15.55	18.15	

52

80% 53

Protein and Energy Supplementation

When do we supplement?

for most beef cow-calf operations protein and/or energy supplementation is generally needed

- late summer when forage quality declines

- during the winter

























Nutrient Requirements Cows

Description	% СР	% TDN		% P
2-yr-old lactating cow, peak lactation	11.5	60	0.28	0.18
3-yr-old lactating cow, peak lactation	12.5	61	0.30	0.19
mature lactating cow, peak lactation	12.5	61	0.30	0.19
coming 3-yr-old dry cow, 270 d pregnant	9.0	58	0.26	0.17
mature dry cow, 270 d pregnant	8.5	55	0.26	0.17





but....what if the cows look like this?



69



70

Nutrient Requirements Replacement Heifers



target breeding - 13.5 - 15 months of age - 65% of mature weight example - 845 lb = 1300 × 0.65



Replacement Heifer Targets

target breeding - 13.5-15 months of age - 65% of mature weight example - 1300 lb mature weight - 845 lb = 1300 x 0.65

target calving - 23-25 months of age - 85% of mature weight example - 1300 lb mature weight - 1105 lb = 1300 x 0.85



71

68

72





Wear	ning to Breeding
 age weaning: breeding: weight 	7 months 14 months
 weaning: weaning: breeding : 	520 lbs (40 % of mature weight) 585 lbs (45 % of mature weight) 845 lbs (assumes 1,300 lb cow)
 target ADG 325 lb ÷ 210 260 lb ÷ 210 	d = 1.55 lbs d = 1.24 lb



Age,	Weight	% СР	% TDN	% Ca	% P	DMI, lb
7.0	520	11	61	0.44	0.22	13.0
8.7	600	10	60	0.38	0.20	15.0
10.9	700	8.5	59	0.33	0.18	17.5
13.0	800	8	58	0.29	0.16	20.0
14.0	845	8	57	0.28	0.16	21.1

	Dave						
Age, months	Preg.	Weight	% CP	% TDN	% Ca	% P	DMI,
16	45	915	8	56	0.26	0.16	19
18	105	975	8	57	0.26	0.16	20
20	165	1035	8	58	0.26	0.16	21
22	225	1095	9	61	0.34	0.19	22
23.5	270	1155	10.5	67	0.36	0.20	23

Forage Intake and Forage Quality

as forage quality declines forage intake decreases

- low quality forage = low intake
- high quality forage = higher intake



81

84

Factors Affecting Forage or Hay Quality

- species and cultivar
- maturity
- temperature
- nitrogen fertilizer
- rained on hay
- hay put up wet

82

Some generalizations:

80

83

cool-season > warm-season

annuals > perennials

arid environments > humid environments

warm-season perennials (native)

ADG: 1.0 to 2.25 lb

- big bluestem & indiangrass
- switchgrass
- eastern gamagrass
- little bluestem

part of advantage in ADG is likely due to grazing differences (25% vs 65+% utilization)

warm-season perennials (introduced)

ADG: 1.0 to 1.6 lb

- old world bluestems (could be higher under some conditions)
- Tifton 85 (bermudagrass x stargrass)
- johnsongrass (doesn't tolerate grazing well)

ADG: 0.7 to 1.3 lb

- bermudagrass
- kleingrass (doesn't tolerate close grazing for extended periods)
- bahiagrass
- dallisgrass



ADG: 2.0 to 2.75 lb

- sorghum x sudangrass
- sudangrass

ADG: 1.25 to 2.0 lb

- crabgrass
- pearl millet

86

cool-season perennials (introduced)

ADG: 1.0 to 2.25 lb

- orchardgrass
- fescue

87

90

- seedhead suppression
- novel endophyte
- perennial ryegrass

cool-season annuals (introduced)

ADG: 1.5 to 2.85 lb

- ryegrass
- small grains
- rye, wheat, oats, barely, triticale



88

Maturity					
Interval between cuttings	% TDN	% CP	Season Yield, tons/acre		
3 weeks	65.2	18.5	7.9		
4 weeks	61.9	16.4	8.4		
5 weeks	59.3	15.4	9.2		
6 weeks	58.0	13.3	10.3		
8 weeks	54.1	10.7	10.2		
12 weeks	51.0	9.0	10.4		
 Coastal berm Glen Burton 	udagrass st	udy in Geo	orgia		

benefit of multiple fields that can be cut for hay or grazed





Components	As Fed	DM
8 Moisture	8.0	I
8 Dry Matter	92.0	1
% Crude Protein	11.3	12.2
% Adjusted Crude Protein	11.3	12.2
🕷 Acid Detergent Fiber 🛛	37.3	40.6
% Neutral Detergent Fiber	64.8	70.5
NFC I	11.6	12.6
8 TDN	50	54
NEL, Mcal/Lb	. 38	.41
NEM, Mcal/Lb	. 42	.46
NEG, Mcal/Lb	.19	.21

Forage Testing Laboratories

Dairy One Forage Lab Ithaca, NY; 800-344-2697 http://www.dairyone.com

talk to nutritionist

- analysis may change depending on forage species
- appropriate lab may change if HCN is needed

94



Supplementation and Hay Feeding Scenarios

- cheap and easy

- easiest and least expensive
- frequent labor when needed, less expensive
- · less consistent labor, more expensive



95





Options if Cattle Need Supplementation

100





Energy and Protein Sources

- 20% cubes
- corn gluten feed
- distillers grains
- winter pasture
- whole cottonseed (max. 25% of diet)



gradually increase levels in the diet

101

Protein Sources

- cottonseed meal
- 38 or 40% cubes
- soybean meal
- sunflower meal (look for 35%+ CP)
- canola meal
- winter pasture (limit grazing)
- alfalfa hay
- Urea (limited amount in right situation)

104



Considerations

- easy to increase or decrease amount
- many options to choose from
- often cheaper per unit of nutrient especially TDN
- may require more labor

106







108







More Cube Considerations

forage extenders cubes are rarely a good option, to low in TDN

rare for cubes to contain a good effective

• is there any K added for dormant native forages

12% crude protein12% crude protein75% TDN55% TDN8% max crude fiber18% max crude fiber

DDG Cubes

- growing segment of industry
- find out if they test for aflatoxins
- corn, grain sorghum, or mix
- some variation

gradually increase levels in the diet

L_____ 115

roughage source

how is the Ca:P ratio

113

Self-fed Supplements

Considerations

- may be hard to change amount consumed
- may not be able to supply enough TDN to thin animals or animals consuming low quality forage
- less options to choose from
- may require less labor
- often best to feed all year long

117





Liquid Feeds and Tubs

- DM intake is generally low
- not the best option for thin cattle
- work better in situations when cows only need a little bit of supplemental nutrition
- generally best to feed year round



119

116

120



 Our 4' model holds approximately 1300 to 1700 lbs of feed depending on the type of feed being dispensed.

 Our 8' model holds approximately 2600 to 3400 lbs of feed depending on the type of feed being dispensed.

 Our 16' model holds approximately 5200 to 6800 lbs of feed depending on the type of feed being dispensed.



123



Hay: 45% TDN, 5.0% CP

Dry cow

122

goal: maintain BCS

8 lbs of 20% cubes

Wet Cow goal: control weight loss 11 lbs of 20 % cubes Hay: 50% TDN, 6.5% CP

Dry cow goal: maintain BCS 4 lbs of 20% cubes

<u>Wet Cow</u> goal: control weight loss 6 lbs of 40 % cubes Hay: 55% TDN, 9.0% CP <u>Dry cow</u> goal: maintain BCS hay only <u>Wet Cow</u> goal: control weight loss 2 lbs of 40 % cubes

125

126





129

132

things to be aware of

- cattle knocking someone over or into something to get to feed
- muddy conditions, when you can't move as well



- make sure cattle respect your personal space
- feeding cattle cubes from your hand increases future risk

130



strategies to reduce risk • carrying sacks or buckets • when feeding on the ground • spread feed out, piles are better than a line • lipe era nimal is preferred • when using feed bunks • put feed in bunks while cattle are locked in adjacent pasture or trap • if cattle are in pasture, feed and move away • cube feeders, trip hoppers, etc.

 prevent accidental cattle contact
 prevent tripping and falling in muddy or rough conditions







Supplementation Frequency

133





energy supplements

- best to feed everyday
- feeding at less frequent intervals can lead to big problems

feeding 3 times a week reduced ADG by 10% compared with daily feeding (Loy et al., 2008) . 3 supplements, 2 supplementation levels







139

Pricing Supplements



Need Protein
20% CP cube (no NPN) - \$10.30 per 50 lb sack - 10 lb CP per sack (50 x 0.20 = 10 lb of CP) - \$1.03/lb of CP (\$10.30 ÷ 10 = \$1.03/lb)
 38 % CP cube \$13.55 per 50 lb sack 19 lb CP per sack (50 x 0.38 = 19 lb of CP) \$0.71/lb of CP (\$13.55 ÷ 19 = \$0.71/lb)

137





Components of a **<u>Complete</u>** Mineral Supplement

- salt
- macro minerals
- · trace minerals (aka micro minerals)
- vitamins A, D, and E



143

Targeted Intake

2 or 4 oz.

- most are 4 oz.

target of 4 oz.

- average intake of 3 – 4 oz. would be acceptable

Se level

- 4 oz: commonly 25 - 27 mg

146

Common Formulations

- higher-calcium, lower phosphorus
 - 15% Ca, 4% P
 - 16% Ca, 5% P - 15% Ca, 7.5% P
- similar Ca & P levels or higher P
- 14% Ca, 12% P
- 12% Ca, 9% P
- 12.5% Ca, 8% P
- winter pasture (moderate to higher Mg)
- ≥ 5% Mg
- higher Ca

147

	Example A	Example B	Example C
Calcium	15	15	16.5
Phosphorus	4	7.5	5
Salt	21	20	16
Magnesium	3	1	5
Potassium	-	1	0.1
Copper	1,200	1,200	2,500
Zinc	4,200	3,600	7,000
Manganese	3,600	3,600	4,000
Selenium	25	27	26
lodine	100	60	250
Cobalt	150	12	20
Vitamin A	100,000	300,000	200,000
Vitamin D	2,500	30,000	20,000
Vitamin E	100	300	200

148

Additives

researched

– IGR

- CTC (requires VFD)
- bovatec (not labeled for cows)
- rumensin
- product A
- product A with IGR
- product A with CTC
- product A with IGR and CTC

149

Additives

not well researched or limited/no benefits

- there is a long list of these
- be cautious of claims
- be aware of selectively reporting research
- many would not justify the added cost

Macro Minerals: Geographic & Forage System Considerations

150

Phosphorus Levels

once nutrient requirements are meet, providing extra P will not improve reproduction

NRC requirements are too high for P

Native Range

dormant forages

 most mineral concentrations decrease with time especially P & K

protein and energy supplement can greatly impact the Ca:P ratio of the mineral needed

consider K level in protein and energy supplements

153

	AMOT-A	AMOT-P
Calcium	15	12
Phosphorus	4	9
Salt	21	17
Magnesium	3	2.5
Potassium	-	-
Copper	1,200	1,200
Zinc	4,200	4,200
Manganese	3,600	3,600
Selenium	25	25
lodine	100	100
Cobalt	150	190
Vitamin A	100,000	400,000
Vitamin D	2,500	8,000
Vitamin E	100	400

154

product	intake, Ibs	% P	gm P supplied
15:4 mineral (A)	0.25	4	4.5
12:9 mineral (P)	0.25	9	10.2
12:9 mineral (P)	0.125	9	5.1
cottonseed meal	2	1.1	10.0
DDGS	2	0.7	6.4

Native Range

Calcium content of the soil

- just because the soil is high in Ca or is sitting on a limestone base doesn't mean the plant will take up more Ca
- bermudagrass average Ca: 0.43%
- native forages average Ca: 0.48%

Coastal Regions

mineral intake can be challenging

- $_{\scriptscriptstyle -}\,$ try low salt formulations
- molasses based mineral tub
- some work from Florida would suggest we could put the mineral supplement in a cube and feed 1 time per week

155

152

156



grass tetany concern for cows

- need consistent intake of Mg
- 5% or greater Mg level
- salt is important for absorption of Mg
- milk fever and grass tetany may both be involved in some cows
 - want higher Ca, lower P level

158

Routine Poultry Litter Fertilization

- inverted Ca:P ratio in forage
- milk fever and grass tetany concerns
- may need P free mineral
- potential trace mineral issues

159

Trace Mineral Considerations

160



trace mineral supplementation will not overcome inadequate energy and protein intake

energy and protein intake are responsible for the big improvements or changes

trace minerals provide insurance and if deficiencies exist can help with improvements

162

too much trace mineral can cause

- decreased ADG
- $_{-}\,$ decreased WW
- decreased feed intake
- decreased pregnancy rates
- death

be cautious of using multiple products with added trace minerals

excess free copper, zinc, and probably iodine in rumen can reduce fiber digestibility

	Requirement, mg/kg of DMI	Maximum Tolerable, mg/kg of DMI	Ratio of Maximum Tolerable: Requirement (mg/kg of DMI)
Copper	10	40	4
Zinc	30	500	17
Manganese	40	1000	25
Selenium	0.10	5	50
lodine	0.50	50	100
Cobalt	0.15	25	167

desirable ratios for Cu – Zn – Mn

- requirement: 10-30-40

- formulate mineral: 1-3-2 or 1-4-2

good targets for copper in most situations

1,200 to 1,500 ppm in 4 oz mineral

- many products have way more copper than needed
- higher levels of copper have been reported to:
 reduce ADG

reduce feed intake

accumulate to toxic levels and cause death

165

Selenium requirement - about 1.40 mg/d for 1,350 lb cow legal limit - 3 mg/d - that is about 2.1 times requirement toxicity could be a concern if getting added Se from multiple sources 166

Cases and Considerations

changes in coal burning power plants

hair coat considerations

- genetics vs mineral

lodine

- preferred forms
 - EDDI (organic form)
- calcium iodate
- good target \geq 100 ppm in 4 oz mineral

don't want

- potassium or sodium iodide they are less stable

foot rot

no benefit beyond meeting requirement

to much iodine has been reported to reduce weight gain and feed intake $% \left({{{\rm{D}}_{{\rm{D}}}}_{{\rm{D}}}} \right)$

167

164

Cases and Considerations

- poultry litter fertilization: Cu and Zn
- hay testing considerations
 \$10 wet chemistry add on: Cu, Zn, Mn, Mo
- , , . . , . . . ,
- copper toxicity
 potential breed differences
- injectable and drenches

force feeding

168

inorganic inorganic vs. organic vs. hydroxy ionic bond - copper sulfate, zinc oxide, sodium selenite, etc. all cattle consume some organic trace minerals organic Sources of from forage and other feedstuffs - covalent bond to carbon-containing ligand **Trace Minerals** - mineral bonded to: amino acid, protein, or CHO research is inconsistent on animal growth, - zinc methionine, copper amino acid complex, reproduction, and health cobalt glucoheptonate, etc. hydroxy organic and hydroxy sources may be safer for - covalent bond to a hydroxy (OH) group vitamins added to mineral supplements - zinc hydroxychloride, basic copper chloride, manganese hydroxychloride 170 171 172

Vitamins

173



174

vitamin A deficiency

- birth of dead or weak calves
- frequent occurrence of retained placentas
- reduced conception
- impaired spermatogenesis
- precursors to vitamin A are found in green growing forages
- drought concerns



- · most need separate source of salt
- most have a similar Ca:P ratio
- most have less Ca then loose supplements

180

	AS 4 CP add Zn & Cu	MAG Mineral Tub	
Calcium	4.5	5.5	
Phosphorus	4	4	
Salt	10	0	
Magnesium	1	5	recommended intake
Potassium	1	2	4 10 8 02.
Copper	1,250	650	
Zinc	3,750	2,375	need to put salt out
Manganese	1,250	1,250	with the "MAG" tub
Selenium	10	10	
lodine	68	68	
Cobalt	30	30	

178



Loose Mineral Products for Comparison	Company A	Company B	Company C
Calcium	16	15	15
Phosphorus	5	7.5	4
Salt	15 - 16	20	21
Magnesium	5	1	3
Potassium	0.1	1	-
Copper	2,500	1200	1,200
Zinc	4,500	3600	4,200
Manganese	4,000	3600	3,600
Selenium	26	27	25
lodine	200	60	100
Cobalt	20	12	150
Vitamin A	100,000	300,000	100,000
Vitamin D	-	30,000	2,500
Vitamin E	100	300	100



	Big 6	Se-90	Iodized	Sulfur
Calcium				
Phosphorus				
Salt	96 - 99	95 - 98.5	97 - 99.7	95 - 97
Magnesium				
Potassium				
Sulfur				3
Copper	260 - 380	280 - 420		
Zinc	320	3,500		
Manganese	2,400	1,800		
Selenium		90		
lodine	70	100	100	
Cobalt	40	60		
Vitamin A				
Vitamin D				
Vitamin E				



Salt (min.) 96.0%, Salt (max.) 99.0%, Manganese (min.) 2,400 ppm, Iron (min.) 2,400 ppm, Opper (min.) 260 ppm, Copper (max.) 380

Ingredients: Salt, Sulfur, FD&C Yellow #5 Dye for Color. Guaranteed Analysis: Salt (min.) 95.0%, Salt (max.) 97.0%, Sulfur (min.) 3.0%.

182







186

Iron Oxide for Color. Guaranteed Analysis:

Feeding instructions:

183

Allow livestock free access to this feed salt.

ppm, Zinc (min.) 320 ppm, Iodine (min.) 70 ppm, Cobalt (min.) 40 ppm.

Mineral Intake



189



190

- focus on average consumption over several weeks
- intake varies over time
- lactation may increase intake, 2 to 2.5x



· if intake is to high

- provide free choice salt
- $\ \ \ -$ check location of mineral feeder
- reduce amount of mineral fed

if intake is low

- determine if cattle are receiving salt from another source
- check location of mineral feeder

191

188

• salt

- initially encourages intake
- as salt consumption increases mineral intake is reduce

• phosphorus

generally decreases intake

magnesium

generally decreases intake

194



195

Calculating Mineral Intake

- 35 cows
- · put 50 lbs of mineral in an empty feeder
- mineral lasts for 6 days
- 50 lbs ÷ 6 days = 8.33 lbs per day for the herd
- 8.33 lbs per day ÷ 35 hd = 0.24 lbs/hd/d
- 16 oz. x 0.24 lbs = 3.8 oz./hd/d
- 196

How Many Bags Do I Need Per Month
40 cows
40 cows x 4 oz/cow/day = 160 oz per day (10 lbs)
10 lbs per day x 30 days = 300 lbs per month
300 lbs ÷ 50 lbs per bag = 6 bags per month
if same 40 cows only ate 3 oz per day then, they

would consume 4.5 bags per month (this would be alright in most situations) When and What Do I Feed

Reputable Company with a Nutritionist on Staff

197

198











Growing Animals: Weaned Calves, Stockers, Replacement Heifers

207

mineral supplementation is basically the same as the cow herd with a few exceptions

Ca needs increase as ADG increases

when grazing cool-season annuals:

- want at least 10 gm/d of added Ca intake
- Mg level is not really a concern, don't want it to high

208

Oklahoma Winter Wheat

4 year average:

(Fieser et al., 2007; Effects of energy, mineral su wheat pasture)

no mineral: 1.57 lb/d with mineral: 1.81 lb/d 0.24 lb/d increase due to mineral

Ca Intake

mineral with 12% Ca if intake is 0.15 lbs = 8.1 gm of Ca

mineral with 16% Ca if intake is 0.15 lbs = 10.9 gm of Ca

mineral with 20% Ca if intake is 0.15 lbs = 13.6 gm of Ca



209

210

Estimating Value of Gain

Jason Banta, Extension Beef Cattle Specialist Texas A&M AgriLife Extension

Estimating value of gain is important to make informed feeding, management, and marketing decisions. Value of gain can be defined as the change in dollar value per pound of additional weight gain. While this sounds simple, the actual value of gain is often not accounted for correctly.

For example, what would be the value of a gain when increasing the weight of a steer by 50 pounds when steers are sold at the prices below?

- 500 lb steer at \$135 per cwt (aka \$1.35/lb)
- 550 lb steer at \$130 per cwt (aka \$1.30/lb)

Often it is assumed the value of each additional pound of gain is \$1.30 because the 550-pound steer is sold for \$1.30 per pound. However, the actual value of additional gain is only \$0.80 per pound in this example.

To calculate the value of gain, the change in the value per head is divided by the change in weight. For the previous example:

- 500 lb x \$1.35 per lb = \$675
- 550 lb x \$1.30 per lb = \$715
- \$715 \$675 = \$40.00 (change in animal value)
- 550 lb 500 lb = 50 lb (change in animal weight)
- \$40.00 50 lb = \$0.80 per lb

Historically the value of gain of calves has been around \$0.75 to \$0.80 per pound. However, the value of gain changes as cost of feed and thus cost of gain in the feedlot changes. Generally, as the price of corn goes up the value of gain goes up; feedlots would rather buy heavier cattle and put less weight on them in the feedlot. In contrast, as corn prices decrease the value of gain generally decreases. Thus, there is less value in taking cattle to heavier weights on grass prior to them entering the feedlot.

In addition to being influenced by feed prices, value of gain could vary depending on the starting weight of the animal. For example, the value of gain might be \$0.65 per pound for adding 60 pounds to a steer that started at 400 pounds, but it could be \$0.80 per pound for adding 60 pounds to a steer that started at 700 pounds. The point to remember here is to estimate value of gain using prices and weights similar to the cattle you have, because value of gain may not be consistent across a range of starting and ending weights.

When calculating value of gain it is good to look at current prices of similar cattle that vary in weight to get an idea of the current value of gain. However, when making feeding and other decisions it is important to consider the current value of the animal and what prices will be when the animal will actually be sold which could be in 2 months, 4 months, or even longer away. The

value of gain may suggest one management decision is best when only looking at the current value of gain, but when future prices are considered the best decision may change. For example, consider what the value of gain might be for a steer after feeding him for 60 days and adding 100 lb, if the following prices are used.

- today's price: 600 lb steer at \$124 per cwt
- today's price: 700 lb steer at \$117 per cwt
- estimated price 60 days from now: 700 lb steer at \$114 per cwt

If only today's prices were used then the current value of gain would be \$0.75/lb, but if the selling price 60 days from now is used then the actual value of gain would be \$0.54/lb. While it is impossible to know what cattle will sell for in the future it is important to consider what the value of gain could be across a range of selling prices before making decisions. When thinking about future prices, consider current market dynamics as well as historically trends. An excel spreadsheet to help calculate value of gain and evaluate the effect of varying sale prices can be found at http://beef.tamu.edu, click on publications and then look for "Estimating value of gain and evaluating feed cost and feed conversion" under the spreadsheet section.

When looking at value of gain it is best to just consider the change in value due to added weight and not the change in value due to other management practices like preconditioning. The premium for preconditioning is not influenced by how much weight is added to the animal. When looking at value of gain for preconditioned calves make sure to look at a current price from a preconditioned calf and an estimated future price from a preconditioned calf. Don't use a current price for a non-preconditioned calf and an estimated future price for a preconditioned calf, otherwise the value of added weight gain will be over-estimated.